

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/285,879	04/07/1999	YOSHIFUSA TOGAWA	614.1957	4256
21171 7:	590 01/07/2003			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP 700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			THAI, XUAN MARIAN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181 DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. FN

, and the second se		
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/285,879	TOGAWA, YOSHIFUSA
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	XUAN M. THAI	2181
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence address
THE REPLY FILED December 18, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	ion(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		XUAN M. THAI Primary Examiner
		Art Unit: 2181

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No. 009/285,879

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations to the amended claims e.g. the limitation "wherein the plurality of driving means is not included within a processor" in claim 6 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search. In addition, there is no support in the Specification for the added limitation.

Continuation of 10. Other: The rejection of claims 1 and 4 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, has not been addressed in the Amendment..